Previous references.

1900.

(Salaries & allowances.

File No. 46 of 900

Serial Nos. 1 — 8.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 8

major **Part B. for** January 1902.

SUBJECT.

Amendment to Art- 80-A of Mysore Civil Regulations.

---

1. 7031/1941 & 27.6.07. From Comptroller points out that under the provision of art-74 of M.S.R Mr C. Venkatanaiah cannot be appointed on the last class of munsiffs and requests to be furnished with further instructions on the subject.

2. 3 & 1.7.07. From Comptroller. States that Mr A. Narasimha Iyengar advocate mysore G.C.S.58 cannot be appointed Munsiff Lab. problem

in place of Mr P Srinivasa Rao on privilege leave on half pay as the absentee's full pay is not available.

3. 624/7.61 & 13.7.907. To Registrar Chief Court.
Forwards copies of objection raised by the Comptroller to the appointment of messrs Venkataramaiah & A. Narasimha Iyengar as munsiffs Sub Protem in the last class.

4. 667/18 & 22.7.907. From Registrar ch: court
Requests that Govt may be pleased to direct that art. 74 of M.S.R may be made inoperative in case of acting appointments of Munsiffs as it would be almost impossible to get competent men otherwise.

5. 708/21 & 24.7.907. From Registrar Chief Court.
States that the existance of the provision in the revised edition of M.S.R in regard allowances payable to acting munsiffs is sufficient to secure minimum pay to acting incumbents and reports that the words "Sub Protem" may be struck off in the notification of certain appointments.

6. 2370/7.193 & 7.8.907 To Comptroller Forwards copies of letters (Sl 4+5) and requests his further remarks with reference

G.R.S. 70]

Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

REQUISITION FOR PAPERS.

Branch Judicial Correspond-ence containing Govt notification 16415 9.11.6.01.

Part about the grant of privilege Leave to Mr S. Varadaraja Iyengar. 9.1630

File No. The above number is traced to 16823

Serial No. 9/18-6-07. J.1675 which is missing MISSING MSS

*Collection No. 7.29

J.1522

To be put up with Diary No. J./522 of 1901.

Signature } N. Krishnamachary

Date } 19.2.15

---

* To be filled in by the Record Room

GPB—28,000—14-5-08

put up for for 14567.

9/18.6.07. from Chief Court. 2.1459

G.R.S. 70]

Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

REQUISITION FOR PAPERS

2381 ———

Section Judicial 16823 / J.1673 - 9/18.6.0

A

B

Filed

Deposit

File No.

Serial No.

To be put up with Diary No. 89 of 1900.

Signature N. Krishnaswamy
Branch
Section
Date 9.2.15

GPB—20,000—19-7-12

Not available

G.R.S. 70]

Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

2138

REQUISITION FOR PAPERS.

Section Judicial 1641-5 / J. 1630 9. 11. 6. 907.

A

B

Filed

Deposit

File No.

Serial No.

To be put up with Diary No. 85 89 of 1900.

Signature N. Krishnaswamy

Branch

Section

Date 9. 2. 15

GPB—20,000—19-7-12

Mr Tavanidis

Subject: Precedents put up showing that full pay of the last grade was sanctioned to the acting Munsiffs. Please see the orders of the councillor and the Secy. dated 14th and 15th September 1896 respectively on Govt Pos: No. 3768-9 / J 393

d 17-9-96.

DWB

3-7-01

117.

H. M. B

Comptroller's objection is that Mr. Venkatu ramiah should not have been appointed in the last class of munsiffs. Sub-problem as the absentees full pay was not available. The pay of a last class Munsiff is Rs 150 rising to Rs 200.

Mr. Varadarajiyar being a 1st class munsiff, his pay is Rs 300. As the above officer was granted privilege leave on half pay, the other half amounting to Rs 150, which is the pay of a last class munsiff - is available to Mr. Venkatramiah who was appointed in the last class sub-protem.

~~[illegible]~~

The only technical objection put forward is that he should not have been appointed in the last class of munsiffs.

sub-protem - Please see article 971 of the Regulations. which empowers Govt to appoint an officer provisionally or substantively

[illegible]

pro tempore instead of appointing an officer to officiate.

Submitted.

3/7. The Govt have always, since the last 4 or 5 years, been allowing acting Municipal Engineers the pay of the last grade, the words "sub pro tem" being added in the notification of appointment (Vide the order of the Govt Secy referred to above). This is a special concession accorded for this class of officers, apart from the previsions of Article 74 of the Service Regulations. An intimation of this effect may perhaps be given to the Comptroller.

Submitted
the Dewan.
3.7.01

The Comptr may be informed that the appointment was made

by design
Inb. Br. Term. ~~for debbo~~
~~debt~~ and shdz be ta-
ken as a Special sanc-
~~tio~~tion.

4/7/1901

Rec'd
4.7.01
1181

Court
9.VII.01

The Registr~~y~~ of the Chief Court states that this objection is raised by the Comptroller for the 1st time now. That such appointments were made not only when the permanent incumbents were granted leave on half pay but on full pay also. The marginally noted notifns are quoted ~~para~~ in support of the above statement.

The Registrar further states that the object of declaring the

X (1) 7115 of 21-12-99.
J 660

(2) 13819 of 29-6-00.
J 1348

(3) 9837 of 6-2-01.
J 1100

acting appointment of a munsiff
Sub-Protem is to enable him to
draw the full minimum pay
of the last grade of munsiffs,
viz Rs 150, that if this is seemed
it would be immaterial —
whether such appointments
are declared sub-protem or
only acting, that otherwise the
acting officer, if already in
service, will get only his sub-
-stantive pay + 20 per cent act-
-ing allowance and that if he is
appointed from the profes-
-sion he will be entitled to
half pay only viz Rs 75, a
sum not likely to tempt an
intelligent lawyer to give
up his practice for the pur-
-pose of going out as an
acting munsiff temporarily,
and suggests that article 74

of the Mysore Service Regulations be held inoperative in the case of acting appointments of munsiffs, as otherwise it will be almost impossible to get competent men for the same.

Submitted for orders.

26.7.01

26/7.

To the Dewan.

27.7.1901

Rec'd 30.7.01 Papers received back on 3.8.01.

The revised edition of the Service Regulations is read a few days back contains an article 80 A, under which a Munsiff, whether holding a substantive appointment, or any temporary employed, is entitled to a special minimum salary of Rs 150 per month. In the Dep.ts Letter of 24th ultimo no 704/21, [redacted] the Dewan's this provision is stated to be sufficient to secure the minimum pay of a Munsiff to the acting incumbent and it is requested that the words

Ad pro tem." he should out of the Notified
attached to the
appointment of Messrs. Ven-
katavaniah and A Narsinha
Iyengar. This ~~letter~~ Con-
struction seems hardly
worth while adopting; but the
attention of the Comptroller
may be invited to Article
80 A, and his further
remarks invited.

To Secy.

3.8.01.

Yss Stanyon
3/8/1901

Office Note Contd.

The Comptroller,

Mysore Govt. who was
addressed in the matter
as per above orders,
states in reply that as
the rule is observed in
the Civil departments
under British Administra-
tion, a similar pro-
vision, the new Art. 80,
was introduced in the
Second Edition of the
Mysore

* vide letter No $\frac{2370}{3198}$ dt 7/8/01

* vide letter No 1503 dt 8/9/01

Medical Service Regulations fixing the minimum Salary to be drawn by Substitutes appointed in room of Mamsiffs proceeding on leave other than privilege leave. He suggests that if the exigencies of judicial Service require it, this rule may be made applicable in the case of vacancies caused by Mamsiffs proceeding on privilege leave also, and the Article altered by striking out the words "Except in the cases provided for in the next Section (Privilege Leave Vacancies)." If this proposal is adopted the Art. 80 A. will read as follows: —

"The undermentioned officers, officiating or substantive, are entitled to minimum Salaries as follows: — vis.

By the revision of it

9

~~The~~ article 80A as suggested, the appointment of Munsif to act Subproleu when no such vacancy exists need not be made in future as the full minimum pay of the last grade will be secured under the revised ~~the~~ Article.

Submitted for orders.

14/9/01

to Secr.

The view of the Comptroller (which is practically not very different from that held by the Chief Court) may be accepted, & the proposed alteration of article 80A approved.

14/9/01

This may be done, I think. Submit to the Dewan with a short note of what is required.

22/9/1901

A draft showing what is required is submitted.

30/9

Draft issued

2/

Gen.[30] 15282 28-6-01. 189 OF MYSORE

1522

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

SECTION S & A

FILE No. 46 of 1900-01 To

SER'AL No. 1

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Rev. & Genl. *Dept.*

Dated

Despatched 27 June

Received

General No. 7031

No. 1941

Enclosure No.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Pointing out that under the provision of article 74 of the M. S. R. Mr. C Venkata-ramiah cannot be appointed on the last class of munsifs. Sub Judge's and requests to ~~issue the necessary~~ take further instructions in the subject

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Refer put up

JWR

30/6/01

Rec'd

47

gazetted audit department.

7031

No. 1941

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 25 June 01

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General + Revenue Depts

Sir,

With reference to Govt. notification No. 1682 3 missing / 1675 of 18th June 01, extending by four weeks the one weeks' privilege leave on half pay granted to Mr. S. Varadarajiengar, Munsiff of Bangalore, in Govt. notification No. 1615 / 1630 of 11 June 01, and appointing Mr. C. Venkalaramiah, Head Translator, Chief Court, in the last class of Munsiffs Sub Potum, I have the honor to point out that under the provision of art. 74 of the Mysore service regulations Mr. C. Venkalaramiah cannot be appointed in the last class of munsiffs, Sub Potum, as the absentee's full pay is not available and to request to be furnished with further instructions on the subject.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E R Subrayer

Comptroller.

3.

f 13.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
49
3-7-01 190

From The Comptroller Mysore Govt.

SECTION S & A.

FILE No. 460 / 1900 To

SER'AL No. 9.

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE, GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 1 July 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 3
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that Mr. A Narasimha Iyengar Advocate Mysore cannot be appointed Munsiff ad protem in the last class in place of Mr. B. Sreenivasa Row on previlage leave on half pay as the absentee's full pay is not available &c.

References to be marked.

Refer put up.
DMB
10/7/01

Gazetted Audit Department

No. 3

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 1st July 1901

SECTION From A & A

FILE NO.

SERIAL NO. To

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departt.

SIR,

missing.

With reference to Government Notification No. 16328/J.1827 dated 8th June 1901 granting 20 days privilege leave on half pay to M.R. Srinivasa Rao Munsiff of Seringapatam appointing M.R. Andaparam Narasimha Iyengar B.A. B.L. Advocate Mysore in the last class of Munsiffs Sub protem, I have the honor to point out that under the provision

5

of Article 74 of the Mysore Service Regulations. Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar cannot be appointed in the 2nd class of Munsiffs Sub Pro tem as the absentee's full pay is not available and to request to be furnished with further instructions in the subject.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
C. Anantaraya
Asst Comptroller
Jun

SECTION S.Y.A.

FILE No. 46 of 900.
To

SER'AL No. 3

(9)

The Registrar, Chief Court

No. 624 / 61 sec 2.

Date 13th July 1901.

Has the honor to forward, for favour of opinion & orders of the Chief Court Copies of letters Nos. 7031/1941 and 3 dated the 25th June & the 1st July 1901 respectively from the Comptroller in Mysore, raising objections to the appointments of Mr. Venkatramiah and Mr. A. Narasimmiengar as munsiffs sub protem in the last class.

By order

Dewan's order

S. Seey
10/7/01

S.N. 1117

(General ~~Serial No. 30.~~)

DIWAN OF MYSORE
1082
23-7-'01

~~1897~~ 1901-2

SECTION S & G

FILE No. 667-900

SER'AL N. 4

From The Resident at the Court, Durbar

To The Secretary to the Govt of Durbar

Dated 22 July 1901

Despatched 23 "

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 667

No. 18

Requests that Govt may be pleased to direct that Article 74 of the Mil. Regulations may be made more stringent in the case of acting appointments of Dewanjis as ~~intelligent~~ otherwise it will almost be impossible to get competent men for same—

23/7/01

Put up with all necessary papers

24-7-01

N 667/18

Bangalore, 22nd July 1901

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to your No: 624/J.61 of the 13" instant, forwarding for the opinion of this Court copies of letters Nos: 7031/1941 and 3 dated the 25" June and the 1st July 1901 respectively from the Comptroller in Mysore, raising objection to the appointments of Mr: Venkataramiah and Mr: A. Narasimha Iyengar, as Munsiffs Sub protem in the last class on the

the ground that under the provisions of article 74 of the Mysore Service Regulations Sub protem appointments cannot be made when the full pay of the absentees is not available, I am directed to state that this objection is raised for the first time now. Such appointments were made not only when the permanent incumbents were granted leave on half pay but on full pay, also as will be seen, on a reference to Notifications of Government No: 7115 J.660 dated 21st December 1899, No: 13819 J.1348 dated 29" June 1900, and No: 9837 J.1100 dated 6" February 1901.

The object of declaring the acting appointment of a Munsiff Sub Protem is to enable him to draw the full minimum pay of the last grade of Munsiffs, viz, Rs 150.

If

If this is secured, it would be immaterial whether such appointments are declared Sub pro tem or only acting. Otherwise the acting officer, if already in Service, will get only his substantive pay + 20 per cent acting allowance, and if he is appointed from the profession he will be entitled to half pay only, viz, Rs 75/- a sum not likely to tempt any intelligent lawyer to give up his practice for the purpose of going out as an acting Munsiff temporarily.

I am to request that Government may be pleased to direct that the said Article 74 be not allowed to operate in the case of acting appointments of Munsiffs as otherwise it will be almost

almost impossible to get competent men for same.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant;

A Rajattaruhmud

Registrar.

12

J124

SECTION ~~6 (A)~~ (2)

(General Serial No. 30).

FILE No. 469,900

SER'AL No. 5

1157
35-7-01
~~100~~ 1901

Form

From The Registrar of the Chief Court, Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Revenue Dept

Dated 24th July 1901

Despatched 24th —

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 708

No. 21

States that the execution of the provision in the revised Edition of the Service Regulation re allowances payable to acting Munsiffs is sufficient to secure the minimum pay to acting incumbents & requests that the words "but protem" may be struck out in the Notifications of certain appointments

~~H.C.~~ J 25/7/01

~~Ka Rajasekhara~~

~~Subbaraya~~

mls
26.7.01

The Letter quoted with is submitted.

The Dewan on 29.7.01

mls
1st Aug 1901

Submitted

mls 1/8

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—14-8-91.—3,703.

No. 708
21

Bangalore 24th July 1901.

From

THE REGISTRAR OF THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office letter NO:667/18 dated the 22nd Instant in re allowances payable to acting Munsiffs, I am directed to state that the suggestion that the provisions of Article 74 of the Mysore Service Regulations should be declared inoperative in the case of acting Munsiffs was made as the provision for special minima in such cases made in Article 80 A inserted in the revised edi-tion of the Service Regulations recently issued was not brought to notice. The existence of this provision is suffi-cient to secure the minimum pay of a Munsiff to the act-ing incumbents.

I am to add that the words Sub pro tem may be struck out in the Notifications in question.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant;

REGISTRAR.

14

SECTION Stat.
FILE No. 46 of 900.
SERIAL No. 670

The Comptroller, R.S.

No. 2370 / 1901 } 7-8-01. Encl 1.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 2031 / 1901 of the 25th June 1901 regarding the pay & the drawing powers of certain acting officers, I am directed to forward copies of the marginally noted letters from the Registrar of the Chief Court and to request your further remarks, if any, with reference to the new article 88 A of the Service Regs. I have etc

667 / 918 dated 22nd August 1901
708 / 21 dated 29th August 1901

15

J 317

3089

14-9-01.

SECTION S & A. DEPARTMENT

FILE No. 6607/1900 189

SERIAL No.

From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Rev. & Genl Dept.

Dated

Despatched 3 September

Received

No. 1503

No.

SUBJECT MATTER.

Stating that as the rule is observed in the Civil Depts under British administration vide art. 118 of Civil Service Regulations a similar provision, the new art. 80 A was introduced in the 2d edition of the M. S. Regns. fixing the minimum salary for leave drawn by substitutes appointed etc

H.J.
15-9-01

Office Note Continued & Submitted

EK 14/9/01

C.O./503

GAZETTED AUDIT **DEPARTMENT.**

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT

Bangalore, 27th August 1901. 189

3—9—07

From

E R. SUBRAYER, Esq., B.A.B.C.E.

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To M.V.Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A.M.L.
~~The~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*
General and Revenue *Depts*

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.2370/93 dated 7th August 01, forwarding copies of letters Nos.667-18 & 703-21, dated 22nd & 24th July, respectively, from the Registrar Chief Court, Mysore, relative to the acting allowances admissible to Munsiffs appointed to act Sub Protem and enquiring whether I have any further remarks to offer with reference to the new Article 80A in the second Edition of the Mysore Service Regulations, entitling Munsiffs whether holding a substantive appointment under Government or only temporarily employed to the minimum pay of Rs.150/- and to state that as the rule is observed in the Civil Departments under British administration, vide Article 118 of Civil Service Regulations, a similar provision the new Article 80A was introduced in the second Edition of the Mysore Service Regulations fixing the minimum salary to be drawn by substitutes appointed in room of Munsiffs proceeding on leaveother than privilege leave, this rule may, if the exigencies of judicial service require it be made applicable in the case of vacancies caused by Munsiffs proceeding on privilege leave also, and the article altered by strikingout the words"except in the cases provided for in the next section (Privilege leave vacancies)". The appointment of Munsiffs to act Sub Pro Tem

when no Sub Pro Tem vacancies exist$ contravenes Art:74 of the Mysore Service Regulations and need not be made in future as the full minimum pay of the last grade will be securied by the revision proposed to Article 80A.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H Eagles

for Comptroller.

CTION S & A

LE No. 4699/00

RIAL No. 8

Rec'd 24. 9. 01 2033

16

Read again:-

Letters No.7031/1941 dated the 25th June 1901 and No. 3 dated the 1st July 1901 from the Comptroller in Mysore, stating with reference to Government Notifications Nos. 16823/J.1675 and 16328/J.1627 dated the 18th and 8th June 1901 respectively, that under the provisions of Article 74 of the Mysore Service Regulations (old edition) Messrs C. Venkataramiah and A Narsima Iyengar could not be appointed in the 1st class of Munsiffs sub protem as the full pay of the absentees was not available.

Read also:-

Letter No. 667/18 dated the 22nd July 1901, from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

(In extenso)

Letter No.706/21, dated the 24th July 1901, from the same Officer.

(In extenso)

Letter No. 1503, dated the 27th August 1901, from the Comptroller, Mysore Government.

(In extenso)

Order thereon, No. R887-88/P&S36 dt 20-11-01

The

17

"The Government approve of the view expressed by the Comptroller in the last of the letters read above and direct that Article 60 A of the Mysore Service Regulations (2nd edition) be amended by the omission of the words "except in the cases provided for in the next section (Privilege leave vacancies)".

18/1
Secretary.

7.1.02

To

The Comptroller to the Govt. of Mysore.

" Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

Copd. 11/1

1901-1902

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

GENERAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 20th January 1902

No. 11887-88
P. A. 836

Amending Article 80 A of the Mysore Service Regulations (2nd edition).

SBP—29-1-02—200

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, General, dated 20th January 1902

READ AGAIN—

Letters No. 7031—1941, dated the 25th June 1901; and No. 3, dated the 1st July 1901, from the Comptroller in Mysore, stating with reference to Government Notifications Nos. 16823—J. 1675 and 16328—J. 1627, dated the 18th and 8th June 1901, respectively, that under the provisions of Article 74 of the Mysore Service Regulations (old edition) Messrs. C. Venkataramiah and A. Narsimmaiengar could not be appointed in the last class of Munsiffs *sub pro tem* as the full pay of the absentees was not available.

READ ALSO:—

Letter No. 667—18, dated the 22nd July 1901, from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

With reference to your No. 624—J. 61 of the 13th instant, forwarding for the opinion of this Court, copies of letters No. 7031—1941, and No. 3, dated the 25th June and the 1st July 1901, respectively, from the Comptroller in Mysore, raising objection to the appointments of Mr. Venkataramiah and Mr. A. Narasimmaiengar as Munsiffs, *sub pro tem*, in the last class, on the ground that under the provisions of Article 74 of the Mysore Service Regulations, *sub pro tem* appointments cannot be made when the full pay of the absentees is not available, I am directed to state that this objection is raised for the first time now, such appointments were made not only when the permanent incumbents were granted leave on half pay but on full pay also as will be seen on a reference to Notifications of Government No. 7115—J. 660, dated 21st December 1899, No. 13819—J. 1348, dated 29th June 1900, and No. 9837—J. 1100, dated 6th February 1901.

The object of declaring the acting appointment of a Munsiff *sub pro tem* is to enable him to draw the full minimum pay of the last grade of Munsiffs, *viz.*, Rs. 150. If this is secured it would be immaterial whether such appointments are declared *sub pro tem* or only acting. Otherwise the acting officer if already in service will get only his substantive pay plus 20 per cent, acting allowance, and if he is appointed from the profession he will be entitled to half pay only, *viz.*, Rs. 75, a sum not likely to tempt any intelligent lawyer to give up his practice for the purpose of going out as an acting Munsiff temporarily.

I am to request that Government may be pleased to direct that the said Article 74 be not allowed to operate in the case of acting appointments of Munsiffs, as otherwise it will be almost impossible to get competent men for same.

Letter No. 708—21, dated the 24th July 1901, from the same officer:—

In continuation of this office letter No. 667—18, dated the 22nd instant, *in re* allowances payable to acting Munsiffs, I am directed to state that the suggestion, that the provisions of Article 74 of the Mysore Service Regulations should be declared inoperative in the case of acting Munsiffs was made as the provision for special minima in such cases made in Article 80 A. inserted in the revised edition of the Service Regulations recently issued, was not brought to notice. The existence of this provision is sufficient to secure the minimum pay of a Munsiff to the acting incumbents.

I am to add that the words *sub pro tem* may be struck out in the notifications in question.

Letter No. 1503, dated the 27th August 1901, from the Comptroller, Mysore Government:—

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 2370—J. 193, dated 7th August 1901, forwarding copies of letters Nos. 667—18 and 708—21, dated 22nd and 24th July, respectively, from the Registrar, Chief Court, Mysore, relative to the acting allowances admissible to Munsiffs appointed to act *sub pro tem* and enquiring whether I have any further remarks to offer with reference to the new Article 80 A in the Second Edition of the Mysore Service Regulations entitling Munsiffs, whether holding a substantive appointment under Government or only temporarily employed, to the minimum pay of Rs. 150, and to state that as the rule is observed in the Civil Department, under British administration, *vide* Article 118 of the Civil Service Regulations, a similar provision, the new Article 80 A. was introduced in the Second Edition of the Mysore Service Regulations fixing the minimum salary to be drawn by substitutes appointed in room of Munsiffs proceeding on leave other than privilege leave; this rule may, if the exigencies of Judicial service require it, be made applicable in the case of vacancies caused by Munsiffs proceeding on privilege leave also, and the article altered by striking out the words "except in the cases provided for in the next section (privilege leave vacancies)." The appointment of Munsiffs to act *sub pro tem* when no *sub pro tem* vacancies exist contravenes Article 74 of the Mysore Service Regulations and need not be made in future as the full minimum pay of the last grade will be secured by the revision proposed to Article 80 A.

No. 11887-88—P. A. 836, DATED BANGALORE, 20TH JANUARY 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government approve of the view expressed by the Comptroller in the last of the letters read above and direct that Article 80 A of the Mysore Service Regulations (2nd edition) be amended by the omission of the words "except in the cases provided for in the next section (privilege leave vacancies)."

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

Ftd.—G.V.

to the new art. 80-A of M. S. Regulations.

7. 1503 § 3.9.07. From Comptroller. States as the rule is observed in the Civil depts under British administration, a similar provision art-80-A of M.S.R was introduced in Second edition fixing the minimum Salary to be drawn by substituted appointments.

8. 11887-D § 20.1.02 To Comptroller & Registrar Chief Court Amends 80-A of M.S. Regulations by the omission of the words except in Cases provided for in the next Section "Privilege Leave Vacancies".

PKA 836

Indexed